hackerearth

Stella Alex

Code Quality 8/10

Skill wise performace

Rank

Total Score

Attempted

How to analyze a **candidate's performance** using HackerEarth

Derive performance insights using detailed reports

Once candidates have completed your test, it's now time to identify the best performers from the pool. The ideal way to do this is via performance reports. While candidate performance reports are the most convenient way to assess performance, yet, not all reports are intuitive or detailed enough to gather adequate insights.

The reports created on the HackerEarth platform capture all the information necessary for you to precisely assess a candidate's performance in a matter of minutes. Here are a few reports to help you analyze a candidate's performance after they've completed a test.

1. Use the overall performance report to determine top scorers

After candidates have completed a test, you can compare the overall performance of candidates by viewing their scores, attempt percentage, and test duration. In addition, you can filter candidates based on their experience or on factors such as total score, time taken to finish a test, or choice of programming language.

	Candidates (664)		🔍 ▽ ⟳ 30 Rows ⌄	6 of 8 columns	
☐	Email ID	Finished at ⇅	Total score (300) ⇅	Attempt percentage ⇅	Test duration ⇅
	shadowaty37@gmail.com	Apr 13, 2019 at 10:30 PM	300	100 %	59.75 minutes
	mtsurdck@gmail.com	Apr 13, 2019 at 10:30 PM	300	100 %	59.78 minutes
	gkorotkevitch@yandex.ru	Apr 13, 2019 at 10:30 PM	300	100 %	59.8 minutes
	tsafonov27@gmail.com	Apr 13, 2019 at 10:30 PM	300	100 %	59.87 minutes

Pro tip: Additionally, you can view reports in the Analytics tab to get an overall distribution of candidates based on test scores and test completion time.

Distribution of candidates based on score

Distribution of scores obtained by all the candidates who have attempted the test

No. of candidates who scored greater than or equal to the median score (14%): **320** ⓘ

Here, 320 out of 450 (71%) candidates have scored marks higher than the median score, which indicates that the quality of candidates from this test was high.

Distribution of candidates based on test completion time

Distribution of test completion time of all the candidates who have attempted the test

No. of candidates who took more than or equal to the median completion time (74%): **61**

According to this chart, 61 out of 121 (50%) candidates have taken more than the median completion time to take the test.

2. View individual reports to screen a candidate better

After completing an assessment, HackerEarth creates a performance report. Using this report, you can:

View a candidate's total score, rank, and number of questions attempted. Also, you can receive recommendations to shortlist or archive candidates based on their performance directly from the interface.

Chris *Review in progress*		Archive **Shortlist**

RANK	TOTAL SCORE	ATTEMPTED	RECOMMENDATION
1 /2	101 /358	5 of 29 questions	👍 vishrut cleared the cut-off score. Shortlist

Test time analysis

TEST INVITE TIME
Mar 12, 2019, 09:10 PM IST

TEST START TIME
Mar 12, 2019, 09:12 PM IST

TEST END TIME
Mar 12, 2019, 09:21 PM IST

TEST DURATION
9 min 7 sec of 1 hr 30 min used.

Track the number of tab switches, average code quality score (for all submissions in a test), time utilized, and the top skills of a candidate.

Points to heed

↗ Tabs switched 4 times

The number of times tabs are switched is recorded by the system. This also includes minimizing system notifications, automatic minimization of the current window when an application is clicked, etc.

🕐 83.8% of test duration not used

Ended the test in 14 min 35 sec. (total test duration: 1 hr 30 min.)

≥_ Code quality

Score   **10/10**

Represents the average of the code quality score that the best submissions of all programming questions have obtained

🏆 Top performer: Basic Programming

qasumitnaidu106 has scored the highest score in Basic Programming.

🏆 Top performer: Python

qasumitnaidu106 has scored the 2nd highest score in Python.

🏆 Top performer: Java

qasumitnaidu106 has scored the 2nd highest score in Java.

🏆 Top performer: Python 3

qasumitnaidu106 has scored the 2nd highest score in Python 3.

🏆 Top performer: Java 8

qasumitnaidu106 has scored the 2nd highest score in Java 8.

Check for compatibility by mapping the candidate's performance in each skill against the average score set for each skill, and determine if their skill sets match your requirement.

Skill-wise performance

Skills (8)

- Basic Programming
- Python 3
- Java 8
- Function
- Dynamic Programming
- Algorithms
- Python
- Java

Marks Scored (%)

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

━━━ Marks scored by the candidate in a skill   ○ Average score of a skill within the test

Get details on how a candidate has performed in each section of the test. You can also track code quality score for the best code submissions (for each programming question in the test).

Programming Questions

Questions attempted: 5 of 5

#	Questions (5)	No. of attempts	Result	Code quality	Score (207/127)
> 1	Longest special subsequences	1	✔	8/10	5/5 ✎
> 2	Cube Square	1	✔	10/10	2/2 ✎
> 3	Test Problem	1	✖	—	0/10 ✎
> 4	Test Problem---Approx	1	✔	9/10	100/10 ✎
> 5	Test Problem -golf	1	✔	9/10	100/100 ✎

3. Review a candidate's code to analyze its quality

You can navigate to the 'details and code quality' section in the detailed submission report to analyze each code submission made by the candidate. Additionally, you can analyze the code on key parameters including readability, maintainability, security, and cyclomatic complexity. The code quality score which is measured on a scale of 0-10 (10 being the highest) is derived from the scores for each of these parameters.

RESULT	SCORE	TIME	MEMORY	LANGUAGE
✅ Accepted	5/5 ✏️	0.10363 sec	64 KB	Java

| Input	Result	Time (in sec)	Memory (in KB)	Score	Output	Expected output
Input #1	✅	0.103632	64	5	📄	📄

🖥️ Compilation log

No compilation log for this submission.

Code quality `8/10`

MAINTAINABILITY	RELIABILITY	SECURITY	CYCLOMATIC COMPLEXITY
10/10	10/10	8/10	3/10

4. Analyze a candidate's logical skills by replaying their code

Within the report, there's an option to replay a candidate's code as a video. This can help you analyze a candidate's logical and programming skills in detail and even use it as talking points during interviews.

```cpp
C++

1   #include <bits/stdc++.h>
2   using namespace std;
3 - int isAn(string s1,string s2){
4       if(s1.size()!=s)
5   }
6   int main()
7 - {
8       int n,vis[100000]={0};
9       cin>>n;
10      string word[100000];
11 -     for(int i=0;i<n;i++){
12          cin>>word[i];
13      }
14 -     for(int i=0;i<n;i++){
15
16      }
17      return 0;
18  }
19
```

▶ 2:06 / 9:16   HackerEarth 1x 2x 5x

Try using candidate analytics in your assessments and let us know how it works for you. If you need any help on using this feature, write back to us at **support@hackerearth.com**. If you're new to HackerEarth and want to create accurate skill-based developer assessments, **sign up for our 14-day free trial**.